

Response to the queries received on the Bidding Document for “**Construction of a Commercial Complex at Polo, Shillong, East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya**”(Tender Reference No. SSCL/Tender/2020-21/MEG-SHI-022)

The pre-bid Conference was held on 25th February 2020 at 11:00am at the Conference Hall, Office of the Shillong Smart City Limited House No. C/B-037, Top Floor, Centre Nongrim Hills, Near JJ Cables, East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya – 793003. The queries received and response of the Employer is as under:

SN	Clause No.	Page No.	Content of Bid document Requiring Clarification	Change Requested/ Clarification required	Response
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Appendix to ITB (30.1).2	32	<p>Prior work experience of the bidders in similar works in Meghalaya during last 7 years (till last day of month previous to the one in which applications are invited)</p> <p>(a) Completed similar works with value of 80% of estimated cost or more: 10 marks each capped at maximum of 1 such project. (b) Completed similar works with value of 40% of estimated cost or more: 5 marks each capped at maximum of 2 such projects.</p>	Please consider On-going projects also	On-going projects have already been considered. Please refer Clause 4.4(a)(i) of Instructions to Bidders (ITB).
2	-	-	-	<p>We would like to clarify as per our previous experience in Meghalaya, below quoted rate in price bid on estimate (2015-16 Meghalaya building schedule PWD) is rejected.</p> <p>Kindly clarify the same whether below quoted rate in price bid on estimate (2015-16 Meghalaya building schedule PWD) will be considered or rejected.</p>	<p>Please refer Clause 28.3 of Instructions to Bidders (ITB).</p> <p>Cost estimate has been prepared based on Meghalaya PWD Schedule of Rates 2015-16/Delhi Schedule of Rates 2016. For non-scheduled items, market rates have been used.</p> <p>Bids will be evaluated as per extant rules.</p>

SN	Clause No.	Page No.	Content of Bid document Requiring Clarification	Change Requested/ Clarification required	Response
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
3	Clause 4.4 (a)	10 & 11	<p>a. To qualify for award of the Contract, each bidder should have:</p> <p>i. Experience of having successfully completed similar works during last 7 years ending last day of month previous to the one in which applications are invited should be either of the following:</p> <p>Three similar completed works costing not less than the amount equal to 40% of the estimated cost.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Two similar completed works costing not less than the amount equal to 50% of the estimated cost.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>One similar completed works costing not less than the amount equal to 80% of the estimated cost.</p>	<p>We would like to request that the value of executed work shall be bought to current costing level by enhancing the actual value of work @7% per annum, calculated from the date of completion to the previous day of last date of submission of bid which is followed by organization like CPWD, Meghalaya PWD, MES etc.</p>	<p>Financial turnover of previous years shall be enhanced @5% per year to bring them to the price level of FY 2019-20.</p> <p>This shall be applicable in Para 4.6 of ITB also (Bid Capacity).</p>
4	Appendix to ITB Clause (30.1).1	32	<p>Similar work experience of the bidders, quantified in terms of number of completed projects during last 7 years (till last day of month previous to the one in which applications are invited)</p> <p>(4) Completed similar works with value of 100% of estimated cost or more: 7.5 marks each capped at a maximum of 2 such projects</p> <p>Or</p>	<p>We would like to request that the value of executed work shall be bought to current costing level by enhancing the actual value of work @7% per annum, calculated from the date of completion to the previous day of last date of submission of bid which is followed by organization CPWD, Meghalaya PWD, MES etc.</p>	<p>Same as Sl. No. 3 above.</p>

SN	Clause No.	Page No.	Content of Bid document Requiring Clarification	Change Requested/ Clarification required	Response
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			<p>(b) Completed similar works with value of 80% to 99% of estimated cost: 5 marks each capped at a maximum of 3 such projects Or (c) Completed similar works with value of 60% to 79% of estimated cost: 3.75 marks each capped at a maximum of 4 such projects Or (d) Completed similar works with value of 40% to 59% of estimated cost: 2.5 marks each capped at maximum of 6 such projects.</p>		
5	7.1 of Part I General Conditions of Contract (GCC)	42	Sub-Contracting not allowed	Sub-Contracting may be allowed	No change is contemplated.
6	Appendix to ITB Clause (30.1).5	33	Valid Class-I registration with PWD Meghalaya	Valid Class-I registration with PWD Meghalaya should not be compulsory for putting rates.	<p>Please refer evaluation criteria specified at Sl. No. 5 (30.1) of Appendix to ITB.</p> <p>No change is contemplated.</p>
7	4.4(a)(i) of Instructions to Bidders (ITB)	10	Experience of having successfully completed similar works during last 7 years	<p>Similar works may be modified as civil works comprising Earthwork, Pile Work, RCC framed structure, Brick work, Boundary wall, Landscaping etc.</p> <p>(As Smart city is a new conception in India and very few bidders have done this type of work but to get more competitive rate, similar works may be modified as above)</p>	<p>Please refer Clause 4.4(a)(i) of Instructions to Bidders (ITB).</p> <p>No change is contemplated.</p>
8	Appendix to ITB Clause	32	Prior work experience of the bidders in similar work in Meghalaya during last 7 years	Similar works may be modified as Sl. 3. Prior work in Meghalaya in last 7 year may	Same as Sl. No. 1 above.

SN	Clause No.	Page No.	Content of Bid document Requiring Clarification	Change Requested/ Clarification required	Response
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	(30.1).2			be modified as completed or on going works.	No change is contemplated.
9	Appendix to ITB Clause (30.1).3	32	Prior work experience of the bidders in similar work in similar geographies {limited to other parts of North East India (excluding Meghalaya), J&K, Ladakh, Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh}, during last 7 years (till last day of month previous to the one in which applications are invited)	Similar works may be modified as a SL.3. Prior work in J&K, Ladakh, Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh in last 7 years may be modified as completed or on going works.	Same as Sl. No. 1 above. No change is contemplated.
10	4.3(b) of ITB	10	The JV or Consortium or Association shall authorize (through Power of Attorney) the lead partner to conduct all business for and on behalf of any and all the members of the JV or Consortium or Association during the bidding process and, in the event the JV is awarded the Contract, during contract execution. The maximum number of members in a JV or Consortium or Association are 2 (Two), (i.e. the lead partner plus one member) and the share of the lead member in the JV or Consortium or Association shall not be less than 50%. Further, the lead partner shall meet not less than 75% of all the qualifying criteria.	Please clarify regarding Joint Venture	The other partner (i.e. who is not the lead partner) shall meet not less than 25% of the qualifying criteria.
11	-	-	-	There is no mention of Approve makes in the Tender document. Does that mean that ISI Brands are accepted or there will be a list of Approve makes.	Please refer 13(viii), 13(xv) and 13(xix) of Part II Special Conditions of Contract and “Scope of Work and Technical Specifications”. All materials to be used in the project, even if ISI certified and marked, shall be with the approval of the Engineer/Engineer-in-Charge.

SN	Clause No.	Page No.	Content of Bid document Requiring Clarification	Change Requested/ Clarification required	Response
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
12	List of Important Dates, Notice Inviting Tender, etc.	4, 5, etc.	-	Since there are so many items to be worked out in detail, we therefore earnestly request you to extend the date of submission of tender by at least 3 (Three) Weeks, i.e. upto 02.04.2020	The deadline for receipt of Bids is now rescheduled to 20 th March, 2020 (upto15:00 Hrs)
